Exhibit 15 Filed Under Seal

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. AND SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,)))
Plaintiffs,)) C.A. No
V.) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
NETLIST, INC., Defendant.))

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT AND UNENFORCEABILITY; BREACH OF CONTRACT

Plaintiffs Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. (collectively, "Samsung") seek a declaration that Samsung does not directly or indirectly infringe United States Patent Nos. 10,217,523 (the "'523 patent"), 10,474,595 (the "'595 patent"), 9,858,218 (the "'218 patent"), and 7,619,912 (the "'912 patent") (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit") (Exhibits A-D), either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents; a declaration that the Patents-in-Suit are unenforceable due to inequitable conduct and unclean hands; and a ruling that Defendant Netlist, Inc. ("Netlist") has breached contractual obligations owed to Samsung, including obligations to license its allegedly essential patents to Samsung and its affiliates on reasonable and non-discriminatory ("RAND") terms and conditions, as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for a declaratory judgment and breach of contract arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 *et seq.*, and state contract law.

Samsung memory modules infringe Netlist's patents, including the '523, '595, and '218 patents. Netlist previously asserted these same patents in litigation against SK hynix, and in doing so served claim charts that purport to demonstrate infringement based on compliance with certain JEDEC memory standards. The Samsung memory modules at issue in this action implement those same standards. In addition, in an ongoing patent infringement lawsuit against Google, Netlist recently amended its infringement contentions to allege that Google's servers (which include Samsung's standard-compliant memory modules) infringe the '912 patent. As a direct and proximate result of Netlist's patent enforcement activities with respect to the '912 patent, Samsung has received demands for indemnification, including from Google and Lenovo. Furthermore, between May 2020 and the present, Netlist made demands that Samsung take a second license to Netlist's portfolio of patents. Accordingly, as set forth herein, Netlist has engaged in affirmative acts related to the enforcement of the Patents-in-Suit against specific Samsung products currently being sold and used throughout the United States. Because this action presents an actual controversy with respect to the Patents-in-Suit, the Court may grant the declaratory relief sought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq.

BACKGROUND

A. Netlist's Extraordinary License Demand and Infringement Claims

- 12. On November 12, 2015, Netlist and SEC entered into a Joint Development and License Agreement (the "Agreement"). The Agreement contains cross-license, joint development, and product supply provisions.
- 13. In the Agreement, Netlist granted SEC and its subsidiaries, including SSI, a perpetual, paid-up, worldwide, non-exclusive license to all patents owned or controlled by Netlist

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/Rodger D. Smith II

OF COUNSEL:

Brian Nester COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 (202) 662-6000

Alice J. Ahn COVINGTON & BURLING LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 (415) 591-6000

October 15, 2021

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014) Rodger D. Smith II (#3778) 1201 North Market Street P.O. Box 1347 Wilmington, DE 19899 (302) 658-9200 jblumenfeld@morrisnichols.com rsmith@morrisnichols.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs